



"Country experiences: Diabetes prevention and control in Mexico"

Dr. Jesús Felipe González Roldán

Chief Officer CENAPRECE

May, 2018





National Trends in Diabetes

ENSANUT 2012 outcomes:

- In México 9.2% (7.1 million) of adults live with diabetes.
- Only 25% show an adequate metabolic control.



Number of deaths attributable to Diabetes in México, 1980-2016

Source: INEGI. *Estadísticas de Mortalidad* Source: ENSANUT 2012, http://ensanut.insp.mx





Main Causes of Mortality in Mexico

Number of diabetes deaths 685,763



Source: INEGI, 2016.





Life expectancy at birth



Time line





Factors that regulate health profiles in the population

Different factors have influenced the health profiles of the Mexican population:

Health determinants

- Demographic transition
- Risks transition
- Technological transition
- **Epidemiological transition**

Infectious Diseases Chronic Diseases Non Communicable







National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Overweight, Obesity and Diabetes



Social Determinants of Health



Diabetes Prevalence ENSANUT MC 2016



Source: ENSA 2000, ENSANUT MC 2016





Strategy Goals

• Reduce the growing prevalence of overweight and obesity in Mexican population, in order to reverse the epidemic of non-communicable diseases, particularly **type** 2 diabetes, through public health interventions, a comprehensive model of medical care and intersectoral public policies







Emergency Declarations

National Committee on Health Security

- On Tuesday, November 1, 2016, with representation from 18 public institutions and unanimously, two Epidemiological Emergencies Declarations were declared:
 - EE-3-2016 for Overweight and Obesity
 - EE-4-2016 for Diabetes Mellitus
- To support the actions of the National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Overweight, Obesity and Diabetes





Prevalence Changes in Diabetes



Source: Atlas federación Internacional de la Diabetes. 7° Edición, 2015. IDF ATLAS, 8° Edición, 2017





Chronic non-communicable diseases at primary care



Chronic Diseases Specialized Medical Units (UNEME-EC)









Non-Communicable Diseases Mexican Observatory (OMENT)

• Citizen observatory responsible for the technical management the and dissemination of information obtained from the Evaluation Indicators System National Strategy for the of the Prevention and Control of Overweight, **Obesity and Diabetes**

SISTEMA DE INFORMACIÓN EN SIC ENFERMEDADES CRÓNICAS Ģ 1,039,907 51.1% 42.6% con diabetes con medición de A1c con A1c < 781.7% 1,169,155 65.2% con medición de con hipertensión con TA < 140/90 presión arterial (TA) 82.6% 665,868 16.2% con medición de peso con obesidad con IMC < 30 estatura 78.7% 53.5% 485,709 con medición de con Colesterol on dislinidemi colesterol tota total < 200

ICAD ÍNDICE DE CALIDAD DE LA Ατενιζιών DE LA DIABETES EN MÉXICO

Metodolog

10 mejores unidades de salud rurales

	Ur	Ranking					ICAD	
	1.	CS TIERRA ADENTRO 1A. TAB	×	×	×	×	×	98.8
	2.	CS SANTUARIO 1ASEC. TAB	*	*	*	*	×	98.3
	3.	CS GALEANA 2A, TAB	*	×	*	×	×	97.0
odología actual (sin glucosa capilar)	4.	CRV CAOBANAL 1A SEC. TAB	*	*	*	*	×	96.5
•	5.	CS S BARTOLOME, PUE	*	×	×	×	×	96.4
Calificación Nacional	6.	CS FCO VILLA, PUE	*	*	*	*	×	96.4
62.00	7.	CS STA CATARINA, BCS	×	×	×	×	*	96.4
★ ★ ★ ★ ★	8.	CHAPOPOTE NUÑEZ.	×	*	*	×	×	95.9
	9.	CS S NICOLÁS, TAB	×	×	×	×	*	95.7
	10.	CS NICOLÁS BRAVO, TAB	×	*	*	*	×	95.7

Allows timely and public monitoring

Level of disaggregation: State, jurisdictional, health unit





Integrated Quality of Care System

NATIONAL Patient status "All"

1,779,214	ⁱⁿ 12,435				51 7%		10 60/		
patients seen with at least 1 medical visit	healthcare centers	(U	1,042,394 with diabetes	•	with A1c* measurement		42.6% with A1c<7		
Distribution by gender:	^ 72.2% 1 27.8%		1,172,165 with hypertension	•	82.1% with BP measurement	•	65.4% with BP <140/90		
Distribution by age: 250,000 200,000			668,016 with obesity	•	83.0% with weight measurement	•	16.2% with BMI <30		
			487,534 with dyslipidemia	•	79.1% with measurement of LDL cholesterol	•	53.5% with LDL <100		
3 2 5 3	40 - 44 45 - 49 55 - 55 49 60 - 64 65 - 69 65 - 69 70 - 74 80 - 84 85 - 4 80 - 84 85 - 4	PUBLIC HEALTH VIEW: STRONG SUPPORT CARLOS SLIM FOUNDATION							

Source: http://oment.uanl.mx/tablero-de-control-de-enfermedades/ Cutoff date : 22/05/2018





833383999999

Integrated Quality of Care System

NATIONAL Patient status "Active"







Quality Index of Diabetes Care in Mexico

Main Goals



Quality of care measured at health units in order to move towards an effective coverage.



Health units performance comparison to detect windows of opportunity and improvement.



Design improving actions and integrated work plans at all levels: health unit, jurisdiction and state.



Measure the impact of improvement actions on health over time of and thus know the evolution of healthcare quality.





Quality Index of Diabetes Care in

National performance April 2017*



Previous methodology

Recent methodology

- Since its publication in September 2016, ICAD has increased 12%.
- On March 2018 changed its methodology, only taking into account the A1c

Mexico (ICAD)

April 2018

	Entidad		Ranking		ICAD Metodología actual	ICAD Metodología previa		
1.	Puebla	\star	\star	\star	×	¥	77.3	78.1
2.	Nuevo León	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	*	¥	77.3	80.2
3.	Guanajuato	\star	\star	\star	×	\star	76.6	77.5
4.	Baja California Sur	\star	\star	\star	*	÷	73.8	75.4
5.	Coahuila	\star	\star	\star	×	\star	72.5	73.7
6.	Nayarit	\star	\star	\star	Ń	쑭	71.1	71.0
7.	Veracruz	\star	\star	\star	×	\star	70.3	71.1
8.	Ciudad de México	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	Ŕ	÷	70.0	71.4
9.	Tabasco	\star	\star	\star	Ŕ	×	67.5	70.8
10.	Jalisco	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	Ŷ	$\stackrel{\frown}{=}$	67.5	70.1
11.	San Luis Potosí	\star	\star	\star	Ŕ	\star	66.1	68.0
12.	Chihuahua	\star	\star	\star	Ŷ	÷	65.5	67.3
13.	Hidalgo	\star	\star	\star	Ŕ	\star	64.8	67.7
14.	Querétaro	\star	\star	\star	Ŷ	÷	64.2	68.6
15.	Tlaxcala	\star	\star	\star	Ŕ	\star	63.6	66.2
16.	Quintana Roo	\star	\star	\star	Ŷ	÷	63.4	68.4
17.	Sinaloa	\star	\star	\star	$\dot{\pi}$	\star	62.3	66.3
18.	Yucatán	\star	\star	\star	숚	쑭	62.0	62.7
19.	Campeche	\star	\star	\star	×	×	60.4	65.8
20.	Colima	\star	\star	\star	쑭	¥	60.3	63.4
21.	Chiapas	\star	\star	*	×	×	60.2	64.0
22.	Baja California	\star	\star	\star	$\stackrel{\sim}{\thickapprox}$	¥	59.2	63.3
23.	Aguascalientes	\star	\star	\star	\pm	×	58.9	62.9
24.	Tamaulipas	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	*	$\stackrel{\frown}{=}$	¥	58.8	63.8
25.	Sonora	\star	\star	×	$\dot{\mathbf{\pi}}$	×	58.4	62.4
26.	Estado de México	\star	\star	*	☆	÷	57.3	61.2
27.	Morelos	\star	\star	*	$\dot{\mathbf{\pi}}$	$\dot{\mathbf{\pi}}$	57.0	60.5
28.	Zacatecas	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	*	$\stackrel{\sim}{\eqsim}$	$\stackrel{\sim}{\eqsim}$	56.4	60.9
29.	Guerrero	\star	\star	*	\star	\star	55.6	59.4
30.	Durango	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	Ŕ	숚	$\stackrel{\sim}{\asymp}$	51.5	57.0
31.	Michoacán	\star	\star	×	\pm	\pm	50.4	54.7
32.	Oaxaca	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$	Ń	쑭	쑭	47.6	52.4

Source: http://oment.uanl.mx/tablero-de-control-de-enfermedades/. Cutoff date: MAY/21/2018





- The National Strategy has a comprehensive approach that combines interventions that include prevention and control, in order to reduce the burden of NCDs
- It settles the importance of adopting healthy habits as an integral part of the treatment of NCDs (not only pharmacological treatment)
- Information registered at primary care level on SIC, allows monitoring and evaluation of NCDs care process, and can be used as a decision-making guideline
- It is necessary to manage, through the Interinstitutional Group, the homologation of care criteria, in accordance with current regulations





"Country experiences: Diabetes control and prevention in Mexico"

Dr. Jesús Felipe González Roldán

Chief Officer CENAPRECE

May, 2018