Malaria elimination in the Americas.

Challenges to the malaria elimination strategy

Dr. Roberto Montoya Regional Malaria Advisor. PAHO







Number of malaria cases 1959 – 2015. El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua



Américas OFFICIAL RECEIVER MAA



Number of cases and deaths due to malaria in the Mesoamerican Sub-region, 2000-2015

Malaria cases 2015 and 1982

Subregion	1982	2015
Amazon	352,632	420,426
Central America	240,972	12,001
Hispaniola	70,008	18,244
Mexico	49,993	551
Southern Cone	633	9
Total	714,238	451,231





Malaria en los países cerca de eliminación en la Región de las Américas, 2013-2016

País	Año	Casos Confi rmados	Casos Investigados	Importados	Autóctonos P. falciparu	Importados P. falciparu	Importados P. vivax	Focos Activos
Argentina	2014	4	4	4	0	0	4	0
	2015	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
	2016	1	1	1	0	0	1	
Belice	2014	19	19	0	0	0	0	8
	2015	13	13	4	0	0	4	11
	2016	5	5	1	0	0	1	2
Costa Rica	2014	6	6	5	0	3	2	0
	2015	8	0	8	0	4	4	0
	2016	13	13	9	0	3	6	1
Ecuador	2014	241						
	2015	686	686	68	184	43	25	20
	2016	926	1.191	56	403	27	29	23
El Salvador	2014	8	8	2	0	0	2	2
	2015	9	9	6	0	0	6	4
	2016	14	14	1	0	0	1	6
México	2014	666	666	10	0	8	2	56
	2015	551	551	34	0	6	27	50
	2016	596	596	45	0	14	31	43
Paraguay	2014	8	0	8	0	1	1	0
	2015	8	0	8	0	2	2	0
	2016	10	0	10	0	3	3	0
Suriname	2014	401		1				
	2015	376	376	295	17	91	170	
	2016	327	327	251	6	94	147	

MEC 2020: 7 countries

Hispaniola : Possible as binational target. Critical gaps in access to diagnosis – treatment and vector control core interventions.



Mesoamerica : Possible as regional target. Three countries very close (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Belize). Two countries with favorable epidemiological situation (Mexico, Panama). Key local specific situations must be addressed (Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua)

Amazon countries :

- Suriname: few cases.
- Ecuador epidemiological situation changing but still favorable. Common issue: contention of reintroduction from neighbors?
- Bolivia without **P. falciparum**
 - *P. falciparum* elimination as a intermediate target in Colombia, Brazil, Venezuela, Perú, Guyana

Southern cone :

Orgar Panar zero indigenous cases in Argentina and Paraguay for more than 3 years. Both countries in certification processes

Malaria morbidity Americas 2016









Datos a nivel administrativo 1 (ADM-1) para Guyana y Ecuador; ADM-3 para Bolivia, Haití y Perú; focos para Argentina, Belice, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Paraguay y Suriname. Las denominaciones empleadas en estos mapas y la forma en que aparecen presentados los datos que contienen no implican, por parte de la Secretaría de la Organización Panamericana de la Salud, juicio alguno sobre la condición jurídica de países, territorios, ciudades o zonas, o de sus autoridades, ni respecto del trazado de sus fronteras o límites.



Datos a nivel administrativo 1 (ADM-1) para Guyana y Ecuador; ADM-3 para Bolivia, Haití y Perú; focos para Argentina, Belice, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Paraguay y Suriname. Las denominaciones empleadas en estos mapas y la forma en que aparecen presentados los datos que contienen no implican, por parte de la Secretaría de la Organización Panamericana de la Salud, juicio alguno sobre la condición jurídica de países, territorios, ciudades o zonas, o de sus autoridades, ni respecto del trazado de sus fronteras o límites.

Cambio porcentual en morbilidad por malaria en comparación al año anterior 2010-2017: Mesoamérica



9 Datos preliminares para el año 2017





Cambio porcentual en morbilidad por malaria en comparación al año anterior 2010-2017: Sudamerica



¹⁰ Datos preliminares para el año 2017, Proyección usada para Venezuela (2017)







Alerta Epidemiológica Aumento de casos de malaria

15 de febrero de 2017



Actualización Epidemiológica Aumento de malaria en las Américas

30 de enero de 2018





Malaria morbidity in Venezuela. 2000 – 2016.



Figura 2. Número de casos notificados de malaria. Venezuela, 1988-2016

Fuente: Compartido por el CNE para el RSI de Venezuela⁴ y reproducido por OPS/OMS.











Malaria morbidity in Colombia. 2000 – 2016.

Figura 1. Casos de malaria en Colombia, 2000-2016.



Fuente: Datos 2000-2014 provienen de los informes anuales de los países a la OPS. Datos 2015 tomados del Informe Mundial de malaria, 2016 de la OMS. Datos 2016 tomados del Boletín Epidemiológico Semanal (BES) No. 52 del Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS)¹





Number of malaria cases Brazil. 2016 - 2017

Tabla 1. Casos de malaria notificados en la región Amazónica de Brasil, por estado. Enero anoviembre 2016 y 2017

Estado de notificación	2016	2017	Diferencia porcentual
Acre	31.297	32,463	4%
Amazonas	45.611	74.423	63%
Amapá	11.348	13.931	23%
Maranhão	700	888	27%
Mato Grosso	495	476	-4%
Pará	13.235	33.122	150%
Rondônia	6.817	7.182	5%
Roraima	8.307	11.966	44%
Tocantins	22	71	223%
Total	117.832	174.522	48%

Fuente: Información proporcionada por el Centro Nacional de Enlace para el RSI de Brasil.





Malaria incidence. Peru, 2016







Malaria elimination in the Americas.

Challenges to the malaria elimination strategy

Dr. Roberto Montoya Regional Malaria Advisor. PAHO







55th DIRECTING COUNCIL

68th SESSION OF THE REGIONAL COMMITTEE OF WHO FOR THE AMERICAS

Línea de Acción 1: Acceso universal a intervenciones de buena calidad para la prevención de la malaria, el control integrado de los vectores y el diagnóstico y tratamiento de la malaria Línea de Acción 2: Fortalecimiento de la vigilancia de la malaria para avanzar hacia la toma de decisiones y respuesta basadas en datos científicos Línea de Acción 5: Esfuerzos centralizados y métodos adaptados para facilitar la eliminación de la malaria y prevenir su reintroducción en áreas libres de malaria



ESTRATEGIA TÉCNICA MUNDIAL CONTRA LA MALARIA 2016–2030



۵)

Global Mataria Programme

Línea de Acción 3: Iniciativas estratégicas de promoción de la causa, comunicación, y alianzas y colaboraciones

Línea de Acción 4:Fortalecimiento de los sistemas de salud, la planificación estratégica, el seguimiento y la evaluación, las investigaciones operativas y

A framework for malaria elimination

The programme actions along **the continuum of malaria transmission**, from high to very low



Drivers of malaria risk in the Region

- Gold mining operations (large scale driver)
- Agricultural activities and investments (banana, sugar, oil palm)
- Intensification of other economical activities (fishing jellyfish, sea cucumber, Brazil nuts)
- Migrations (labor)
- Land conflicts, illegal activities, and rural to urban migrations





Malaria in gold-mining areas in Colombia

Angélica Castellanos¹, Pablo Chaparro-Narváez², Cristhian David Morales-Plaza³, Alberto Alzate¹, Julio Padilla⁴, Myriam Arévalo^{1,5}, Sócrates Herrera^{1,3/+}

¹Malaria Vaccine and Drug Development Centre, Cali, Colombia ²National Institute of Health of Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia ³Caucaseco Scientific Research Centre, Cali, Colombia ⁴Ministry of Health and Social Protection, Bogotá, Colombia ⁵Universidad del Valle, Faculty of Health, Cali, Colombia



Fig. 1A: gold-mining distribution in malaria endemic areas in Colombia. Name and geographic gold-mining districts (GMD) distribution in Colombia. Source: modified from simco.gov.co/Simco/Portals/0/mapaDistritosMineroscolombia2008.pdf; B: gold-mining production units or municipalities (spot) by GMD. Source: modified from Cuales son los distritos mineros de Colombia? (simco.gov.co/simco/Politicasdelsector/ MejoramientodelaProductividadyCompetitividad/Gesti%C3%B3ndelosDistritosMineros/tabid/86/Default.aspx); C: total morbidity of malaria distribution in Colombia by parasite species in 2010-2013.





Correlation between annual parasite index (API) and gold-mining district (GMD) production



31.6% of malaria cases were from mining areas.

The annual parasite index (API) correlated with gold production (R2 0.82, p < 0.0001);

... for every 100 kg of gold produced, the API increased by 0.54 cases per 1,000 inhabitants

Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 111(1): 59-66, January 2016 59

Malaria in gold-mining areas in Colombia

Angélica Castellanos¹, Pablo Chaparro-Narváez², Cristhian David Morales-Plaza³, Alberto Alzate¹, Julio Padilla⁴, Myriam Arévalo^{1,5}, Sócrates Herrera^{1,3/+}

¹Malaria Vaccine and Drug Development Centre, Cali, Colombia ²National Institute of Health of Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia ³Caucaseco Scientific Research Centre, Cali, Colombia ⁴Ministry of Health and Social Protection, Bogotá, Colombia ⁵Universidad del Valle, Faculty of Health, Cali, Colombia



Gold mining and malaria

- Increases the size of dispersed settlements
- Increase breeding niches for the Anopheles mosquito
- Exposure of immunologically naive persons to infection
- Increase in malaria transmission
- Poor housing conditions
- Mobile population
- Poor access to health services
- Illegal conditions and connection with other illegal activities (more barriers to access services)
- Counterfeit medicines, black market, monotherapy, incomplete treatmets and selfmedication
- Migration (risk for spread of malaria transmision and resistant parasites)
- Malaria .. the tip of the iceberg (social and public health problem)





MAPA DE LA MIGRACIÓN CENTROAMERICANA CON PORCENTAJES DE POBLACIÓN MIGRANTE







Challenges for malaria elimination

- Eliminate P. falciparum before emergence of resistance to ACT
- Relapses
- Other challenges related with *P. vivax* (gametocites day 0)
- Exophagic vectors with exophilic resting behavior
- Undetected infections
- Socio economic drivers
- Porous borders and intense intra / inter-country migration
- Hard to reach populations
- Changes in malaria and other vector borne diseases programs
- Gaps in primary health care models in rural areas





November 6



Closing Local Gaps Toward Malaria Elimination

diagnosis • treatment • investigation - response





REGIONAL OFFICE FOR THE Americas

Milken Institute School of Public Health

FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPL

Robert Stempel College of Public Health



JOHNS HOPKINS Center for Communication



Malaria elimination at country level is the sum of the elimination of malaria in each one of the foci

The work must be done at local level (municipality, focus)

🥔 de la Salud

How to eliminate malaria?...

... eliminating the human reservoir of parasites

- By early **infection detection** and **radical treatment**
- **Infection detection** is a technical / operational bottle neck to eliminate the human reservoir
- How much early? How much sensitive? How much massive?
- There are still **important gaps** in **infection detection** (mainly symtomatic malaria) that can be addressed improving access to RDT and microscopy
- Access to **diagnosis** as the key operational bottle neck towards elimination of human reservoir
- But, access to **treatment** also remains as basic operational bottle neck





Closing Local Gaps Toward Malaria Elimination: two key ideas

Concentrate efforts in closing gaps in key interventions (dti-r)

Promote joint efforts in key municipalities (foci): "high burden" and "eliminating"



1



of Public Health





Center for Communication

in the Americas

Day

Potential coverage gaps that determine the fraction of infections rapidly identified and treated.









Access to prompt diagnosis is the main gap

- Countries with massive efforts in detection but without proper diagnosis (no prompt case investigation and response)
- Countries with short time for detection but critical delays in diagnosis (case investigation and response)
- Territories where RDT should be highly effective but with important gaps in implementation
- Areas with legal restrictions for diagnosis and treatment by community health workers
- Countries with barriers for accessing diagnosis even in urban areas
- Countries with community health workers being considered in the malaria strategy but without appropriate networking support and structure
- Countries where community health workers are not even considered in the new health model
- Countries where diagnosis is only available at the central level





dti

DIAGNOSIS

Every suspected malaria case must be diagnosed using microscopy or RDT within the first 48h from onset of

^s Gaps? W transmission settings: unrealistic? Always in the first 7 days from onset of symptoms.

TREATMENT

Every confirmed case must receive appropriate treatment based on the national

FGaps ?gthe same day of thediagnosis.

INVESTIGATION

Every case or cluster of cases should trigger a basic action to promptly detect and tre: Gaps ? related cases within 7 days.

7 days from the onset of symptoms





- dti-r is a stepwise approach in which diagnosis, with the consequent treatment, is the first step,
- Investigation, surveillance and response are the natural extension of a proper network of diagnosis.

Without proper **diagnosis**, there is no proper **treatment**, no **investigation**, no knowledge of malaria distribution, no way to stratify the risk, no way to identified focus, no guidance for vector control, no response.

• Assuming that dti-r is the anchor of a successful program, attention should be focused on **coverage**, access and **quality** of the **services**.





Closing Local Gaps Toward Malaria Elimination: **two key ideas**

Concentrate efforts in closing gaps in key interventions (dti-r)

Promote joint efforts in key municipalities (foci): "high burden" and "eliminating"

llagnosis • treatment • investigation – response



1

2





Robert Stempel Colle of Public Health & Social Work Global Health Consortium



JOHNS HOPKINS Center for Communication Programs

in the Americas

Day

15 municipalities in 2015 contributed more that 80% of the cases of the continent:

Municipality	Departament	Country							-		
Sifontes	Bolivar	Venezuela	4	46,610		52,509	71,	934		34.4%	
Cruzeiro do Sul	Acre	Brazil	20,043	3	17,21	0	14,979)		41.6%)
Atures	Amazonas	Venezuela	4,377		5,897		9,812			46.29	%
Manaus	Amazonas	Brazil	7,295		7,443		9,748			50.9	9%
Gran Sabana	Bolivar	Venezuela	5,195		5,224		8,354			54.	9%
San Juan Bautista	Loreto	Peru	7,414		10,616	;	8,006			58	8.7%
Andoas	Loreto	Peru	1,029		6,842		7,898			6	2.5%
Raul Leoni	Bolivar	Venezuela	2,844		5,130		7,777			6	6.2%
Quibdo	Choco	Colombia	4,232		5,008		7,120				69.6%
Cedeno	Bolivar	Venezuela	5,057		5,289		6,898				72.9%
Ipixuna	Amazonas	Brazil	5,455		2,983		6,731				76.1%
Eirunepe	Amazonas	Brazil	8,483		5,288		6,240				79.1%
Tado	Choco	Colombia	1,814		3,472		5,716				81.8%
Mancio Lima	Acre	Brazil	7,281		6,207		5,552				84.1%
Labrea	Amazonas	Brazil	4,651		7,412		5,161				86.3%
			0 1	100,000	0	100,000	0	100000	0% Cur	50% mulative	100% % 2015

"..." indicates unavailable data.

*Sao Gabriel da Cachoeira



- Malaria transmission is **concentrated in limited number of municipalities**
- Within high burden municipalities there is also an important geographical heterogeneity in malaria transmission: key foci
- Malaria transmission in key foci **may influence malaria transmission across the country... and across countries (Mesoamerica)**
- The most efficient way to eliminate (and to reduce) malaria is **to work on the "high burden" foci**







How to address the focus - Methodology



Identifying barriers and gaps ...

- Diagnosis
- Treatment
- Investigation
- Response

Dynamics of malaria transmission- analysis / hypothesis

Identifying local drivers of the transmission,





Call for action for national and local authorities and other actors to increase efforts in reducing burden and eliminating malaria in "high burden" municipalities











November 6



in the Americas

Thank you

End malaria for good

diagnosis • treatment • investigation - response

0



ED NATIONS



REGIONAL OFFICE FOR THE Americas

Milken Institute School of Public Health

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

JOHNS HOPKINS

Robert Stempel College of Public Health & Social Work Global Health Consortium



WORLD MALARIA DAY 2018 COMMUNICATIONS TOOLKIT

⁶⁶ Ready to beat malaria ⁹⁹









